

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/887,531	06/22/2001	David G. Schmidt	16236-0241 (41966-248498)	5792
759	90 05/21/2003			
John K. McDonald, Ph.D. KILPATRICK STOCKTON LLP 2400 Monarch Tower		EXAMINER		
			CREPEAU, J	CREPEAU, JONATHAN
3424 Peachtree 1 Atlanta, GA 30	,		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
Timana, Oil 30	.5217		1746	5
			DATE MAILED: 05/21/2003	8

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
A Company	09/887,531	SCHMIDT	/		
. Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jonathan S. Crepeau	1746			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ac	Idress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may on. a reply within the statutory minimum of the period will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mostatute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered time ONTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. ommunication.		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>22 June 2001</u> .				
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b)	This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the application	cation.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	hdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> are subject to restriction ar Application Papers	d/or election requirement.				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □	accepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received in	Application No			
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do	mestic priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(e) (to a provisiona	l application).		
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign languaç 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do					
Attachment(s)	· -	- -			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N	8) 5) Notice	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No of Informal Patent Application (PT			
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Of	fice Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 5	5		

Art Unit: 1746

1

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-13, 19, and 20, drawn to a battery, classified in class 429, subclass218.1.
 - II. Claims 1-13, 21, and 22, drawn to a capacitor, classified in class 361, subclass 509.
 - III. Claims 1-13, 23, and 34, drawn to a fuel cell, classified in class 429, subclass 40.
 - IV. Claims 1-13, 25, and 26, drawn to a fuel cell assembly comprising a hydrogen generator, classified in class 429, subclass 19.
 - V. Claims 14-17, drawn to a method of producing hydrogen, classified in class 423, subclass 657.
 - VI. Claim 18, drawn to a method of manufacturing an alloy, classified in class 420, subclass 528.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I, II, and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different modes of operation. Batteries, capacitors, and fuel cells, although they each comprise the composition recited in claims 1 and 7, each operate in a different manner and are thus patentably distinct devices from one another.

Application/Control Number: 09/887,531

Art Unit: 1746

- 3. Inventions IV and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the fuel cell of the combination (Group IV) does not require the composition of claims 1 or 8. The subcombination has separate utility such as in different fuel cell systems.
- 4. Inventions V and I-IV are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the composition of claims 1 and 8 can be used in other processes of use, such as uses in an apparatus (e.g., battery) and not to make hydrogen as recited in Group V.
- 5. Inventions VI and I-IV are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case Group VI (claim 18) recites a "method of manufacturing the alloy of claim 8," but

Application/Control Number: 09/887,531

Art Unit: 1746

claim 8 is directed to a composition containing the alloy. Thus, claim 18 is only directed to making one part of the composition of claim 8 and thus does not need to make the entire product.

- 6. Claims 1-13 link(s) inventions I, II, III, and IV. The restriction requirement among the linked inventions is subject to the nonallowance of the linking claim(s), claims 1-13. Upon the allowance of the linking claim(s), the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions shall be withdrawn and any claim(s) depending from or otherwise including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) will be entitled to examination in the instant application. Applicant(s) are advised that if any such claim(s) depending from or including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) is/are presented in a continuation or divisional application, the claims of the continuation or divisional application may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. In re Ziegler, 44 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.
- 7. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 8. A telephone call was made to John McDonald on April 25, 2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Application/Control Number: 09/887,531

Art Unit: 1746

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 9. examiner should be directed to Jonathan Crepeau whose telephone number is (703) 305-0051. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 9:30 AM - 6:00 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy Gulakowski, can be reached at (703) 308-4333. The phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-5900. Additionally, documents may be faxed to (703) 305-5408 or (703) 305-5433.

Any inquiry of general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

JSC

May 20, 2003

Jonathan Crepenn Patent Examiner Art Unit 1746